FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT NORTH COVENTRY TOWNSHIP STREAMBANK STABILIZATION PROJECT SECTION 14 - EMERGENCY STREAMBANK STABILIZATION CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

OVERVIEW

The United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Philadelphia District has evaluated the protection of a Township road in North Coventry Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania.

PURPOSE AND NEED

The Corps was approached by North Coventry Township concerning an erosion problem along one of their local roads. The purpose of the project is to protect River Road, a township road threatened by streambank failure. The need for the project is the undermining of the road due to streambank erosion as result of high flow events occurring on the Schuylkill River. The erosion problem at this site was noted in 2006 and has been aggravated by flooding following Hurricane Irene in August 2011 and Tropical Storm Lee in September 2011.

COORDINATION

The project was developed in partnership with North Coventry Township. A scoping letter soliciting input on the proposed project was sent to appropriate state and federal agencies, as well as, other potentially interested parties in April 2012.

The Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project was forwarded to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region III, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP), Pennsylvania Game Commission (PGC), Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC), Chester County Conservation District (CCCD), and all other known interested parties.

ENDANGERED SPECIES

Consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has determined that there will be no effect on federally listed species found in the project area. Pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 as amended by P.L. 96-159, consultation with the FWS and NMFS has been completed for this project.

WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE

The Corps has determined that this project meets the terms and conditions of Nationwide Permit #13 (Bank Stabilization) for the construction of this project and with that permit, the Pennsylvania, Section 401 State Water Quality Certificate is automatically issued. In addition, any future maintenance requirements of the project undertaken by the non-federal sponsor, North Coventry Township, would be covered by Nationwide Permit #3 (Maintenance).

WETLANDS

There are no wetlands found in the project area; hence, no impacts to wetlands are anticipated as a result of this project.

COASTAL ZONE

Based on the information gathered during the preparation of the Environmental Assessment, the project is not located in the area defined under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. Therefore, the project will not need a federal consistency determination in regards to the Coastal Zone Management Program of Pennsylvania.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

The proposed project is of such limited nature and scope that little likelihood exists for the proposed action to impact historic properties eligible for or listed on the National Register of Historic Places. Consultation with the Pennsylvania Historic Museum Commission and the Tribes under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act has been completed and no impacts to historic resources are anticipated from this project.

RECOMMENDATION

Because the Environmental Assessment concludes that the work described is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment, I have determined that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

Aliss

Michael A. Bliss, P.E. Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Commander

03JUL 14

Date

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NORTH COVENTRY TOWNSHIP STREAMBANK STABILIZATION PROJECT SECTION 14 - EMERGENCY STREAMBANK STABILIZATION CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

PREPARED BY: PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19107

July 2014

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NORTH COVENTRY STREAMBANK STABILIZATION PROJECT SECTION 14 – EMERGENCY STREAMBANK STABILIZATION CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Project Location	1
2.0	Study Authority	2
3.0	Purpose and Need for Action	2
4.0	Alternatives	4
4.1	No action	4
4.2	Making the Existing Road One Way	4
4.3	Relocate the Existing Road	
4.4	Armoring the Streambank with Rip Rap	
4.5	Armoring / Bioengineering Combination of the Streambank	
5.0	Existing Environment	8
5.1	Air quality	8
5.2	Water Quality	8
5.3	Wetlands	9
5.4	Fisheries	9
5.5	Wildlife Resources	9
5.6	Threatened and Endangered Species	10
5.7	Cultural Resources	
5.8	Recreation	10
5.9	Noise	11
6.0	Environmental Impacts	11
6.1	Air quality	11
6.2	Water Quality	12
6.3	Wetlands	13
6.4	Fisheries	13
6.5	Wildlife Resources	13
6.6	Threatened and Endangered Species	13
6.7	Cultural Resources	13
6.8	Recreation	14
6.9	Noise	
6.10	Cumulative	14
7.0	Environmental Justice	14
8.0	Relationship of Selected Plan to Environmental Statutes and Other Requirements	15
9.0	Section 404(b)(1) Analysis	15

10.0	References	21
11.0	Clean Air Act Consistency Statement	
12.0	Proposed Project Conceptual Design	Appendix A
13.0	Relevant Correspondence	Appendix B
14.0	Clean Air Assessment	Appendix C
15.0	Public/Agency Comments to the draft EA and Corps Responses	Appendix D
	LIST OF FIGURES	

Figure 1.	General Vicinity Map for Schuylkill River at North Coventry Township, PA	1
Figure 2.	Site specific location map for proposed project	2
Figure 3.	View of project area from the opposite streambank	3
Figure 4.	View of project area with the failing streambank	3
Figure 5.	Another view of project area with failing streambank and infrastructure	4

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Alternative Analysis.	.7
Table 2.	Compliance with Appropriate Environmental Statutes	15

1.0 Project Location

The project site is located on River Road along the Schuylkill River in North Coventry Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania. The project begins in the existing ditch below the State Highway 100 overpass, and extends approximately 1900' downstream towards Hanover Street (Figures 1 and 2).

The watershed of the Schuylkill River, a major tributary to the Delaware River, is located in southeastern Pennsylvania, and includes large parts of Schuylkill, Berks, Montgomery, Chester, and Philadelphia Counties. The Schuylkill River watershed is about 80 miles long and 25 miles wide, and encompasses an area of approximately 1,916 square miles (Natural Lands Trusts *et a*l, 2001).



Figure 1: General Vicinity Map for Schuylkill River at North Coventry Township, PA.



Figure 2: Location of streambank stabilization project on River Road in North Coventry Township, PA.

2.0 Study Authority

This investigation is conducted under the Continuing Authorities Program, Section 14 of the 1946 Flood Control Act (33 U.S.C. 701r), as amended. The purpose of the Section 14 authority is to protect public works and non-profit public facilities from streambank and shoreline erosion. Federal funding for each Section 14 project is limited to \$1,500,000 (as amended by Section 2023 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007, P.L. 110-114).

3.0 Purpose and Need for Action

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Philadelphia District, was approached by North Coventry Township concerning an erosion problem along one of their local township roads (Figures 3-5). The purpose of the project is to protect River Road, a township road threatened by streambank failure. The need for the project is the undermining of the road due to streambank erosion as result of high flow events occurring on the Schuylkill River. The erosion problem at this site was noted in 2006 and has been aggravated by flooding following Hurricane Irene in August 2011 and Tropical Storm Lee in September 2011.



Figure 3. View of project area from the opposite streambank (Photo - May 2014).



Figure 4. View of project area with the failing streambank (Photo – May 2014).



Figure 5. Another view of project area with failing streambank and infrastructure (Photo - May 2014).

4.0 Alternatives

1. No Action.

The "no action" alternative would not provide any protection to the existing streambank and thus, River Road. This would lead to continual bank erosion and eventually River Road would be in danger of failure. It is likely that if nothing is done at this project location, the road embankment will continue to erode and the stability of the road will be threatened in the future. The Corps will keep the "no action" alternative in the analysis pursuant to National Environmental Policy Act regulations.

2. Making the Existing Road One Way

This alternative involves the redesigning of the existing road to make River Road in this section one way. This alternative to move traffic away from the eroding streambank and provide safer passage of vehicles along River Road was initially identified in a 2004 planning study by the Township. That study proposed either keeping the 33 feet right of way as two-10-feet wide traffic lanes with a 5 feet buffer and 8 feet wide trail or eliminating one lane of vehicular traffic and substituting a wider buffer and trail area in the right of way. This would have an impact on the local traffic patterns, as well as the residents of River Road. This alternative would provide some years of service until the road was compromised,

but would still leave the area vulnerable to future streambank erosion and eventual road failure. The addition, of a pedestrian trail along the road would be an enhancement, but there are no current links that would access the trail segment, so it would be a stand-alone 1900 feet trail section. The Schuylkill River Trail (SRT) is already located across the river and provides the public ample access to a long distance trail. In addition, without addressing the streambank erosion now, this alternative would just delay the failure of the road.

3. Relocate the Existing Road

This alternative would involve purchasing up to 10 residential properties (median housing value: \$151, 800), 2 business properties, 18 other parcels, and relocating local utilities. Based on this information, the cost for this alternative would likely exceed \$2 million, which would be cost prohibitive to the non-federal sponsor. In addition, this alternative would disrupt the local traffic patterns for an extended period during construction of a re-aligned road. Furthermore, without addressing the streambank erosion now, this alternative would just delay the failure of the road; and without protection, the stream will continue to erode the streambank and eventually reach any nearby relocated road.

4. Armoring the Streambank using Rip Rap

This alternative involves the use of substantial amounts of rip rap and / or gabion baskets to cover approximately 8 feet of the streambank. This alternative would provide for immediate protection of the streambank of River Road, but the cost and environmental impact would be significant. However, the amount of rock needed to construct this alternative would be significant (approx. 5000 cubic yards). Gabion baskets require frequent maintenance, which would add to the cost of this alternative. In addition, rip rap or gabion baskets would provide very little habitat for fish and wildlife in the Schuylkill River that would utilize the streambank. Furthermore, the public would probably consider a 1900 feet segment of rip rap to be much less aesthetically pleasing than a planted river bank.

5. Armoring / Bioengineering Combination on the Streambank

This alternative consists of stabilizing the west streambank of the Schuylkill River along River Road with a combination of riprap and vegetative cover. The proposed project recommends the use of Longitudinal Peak Stone Toe Protection (LPSTP). The project begins in the existing ditch below the State Highway 100 overpass, and extends approximately 1900 feet downstream towards Hanover Street. The first 960 feet of the project is referenced as Range 1, and then there is a break (with no construction) for approximately 800 feet. Then the final 200 feet of the project is referenced as Range 2 (Figures 4-6). The LPSTP is a continuous stone dike that is comprised of well sorted stone that is placed at the toe of the eroding bank, or slightly streamward of this area. The cross-section of the LPSTP is triangular in shape, and does not follow the toe exactly, but can be placed in a way that a "smooth" alignment can be created through bend locations. The amount of stone to be used in this design is based on 2-3 ton per linear foot, resulting in approximately 5 feet of toe protection. The LPSTP keys, which tie the LPSTP into the existing bank, must be keyed into the bank at both the upstream and downstream ends at 20 to 30° to the flow of the river, and at 150 feet intervals along the entire length of the protected area. These keys will be placed a minimum of 15 feet into the existing bank to prevent river migration from flanking the key and the LPSTP.

Range One has a minimum bottom width of 10 feet, and a minimum height of 5 feet. Range Two has a

minimum bottom width of 6 feet, and a minimum height of 3 feet. The side slopes of both ranges should be 1 horizontal to 1.5 vertical. Range One will have approximately five keys tied back into the existing bank, and Range Two will have one. These key totals do not include the tie in keys at the upstream and downstream ends of the range.

Bendway weirs, structures built into the river that are perpendicular to the flow, will be constructed to redirect the erosive power of the river away from the protected bank. In addition, bendway weirs will be used to control the thalweg (the section of the river that is the deepest and has the highest velocities) and help realign the thalweg with the downstream bridge. The bendway weirs will only be placed in Range 1 and will be spaced between 130 -140 feet apart. They will protrude into the river approximately 30 feet from the streamward toe of the LPSTP. They will have a crest width of 10 feet and will be constructed out of well graded R7 riprap.

For both ranges there should be minimum excavation along the toe prior to the placement of stone. The bank side of the riprap will be backfilled with a gravel-cobble-sand mix to a certain height and then backfilled with soil. Prior to backfilling with the gravel-cobble-sand mix and soil, there will be an assortment of willow and dogwood planting poles placed along the back slope of the LPSTP and along the existing bank. The soil will then be backfilled to cover the poles, leaving the recommended length of the poles exposed. Sycamore, red maple and other native species of trees and shrubs will be planted in this soil after backfilling is completed. All areas disturbed during the construction process will be hydroseeded using a bonded fiber matrix.

The proposed construction would be completed in the dry using a cofferdam constructed of poles and fabric (e.g., portadam) during the low flow period of June through November. Since water levels in the Schuylkill River can approach up to 10 foot depths the cofferdam type will be further investigated as the project designs are finalized. In addition, a turbidity curtain would be used to supplement the cofferdam, as needed. The total amount of stone for this alternative would be approximately 1800 cubic yards (cu yds) and the total amount of fill would be approximately 2600 cu yds. This amount of stone is substantially less than the previously discussed rip rap alternative. This is our proposed selected plan (see Appendix A for conceptual plans). Also, Table 1 summarizes the alternatives considered for this project.

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 4	Alternative 5
	No Action	Making the Existing Road One Way	Relocate the Existing Road	Armoring the Streambank using Rip Rap	Armoring / Bioengineering Combination on the Streambank
Benefits	• None	 No impact to Schuylkill River Public trail segment Low cost 	 No impact to Schuylkill River New road would be further away from the eroding bank and increase the longevity of that road. 	• Protect the streambank and River Road.	 Protect the streambank and River Road. Significantly less fill stone needed, then Alternative #4. Native plants used in bioengineering, improve habitat in the riparian area. This is the preferred plan.
Potential issues	 Streambank continues to erode and undermine. Eventual road failure Public safety issue 	 Real estate easements needed from local landowners Does not stop erosion of the streambank and will lead to eventual road failure. Public parking for trail may impact local residents. 	 Real estate easements needed from local landowners. Real estate costs, must purchase property High cost 	 Lots of stone needed, large impact on the Schuylkill River. High cost 	• Cofferdam withstanding the flows of Schuylkill River during construction.
Maintenance costs	No cost	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Wetland impacts	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Cost	No cost	Low	High	High	Medium

5.0 Existing Environment

5.1 Air Quality

Ambient air quality is monitored by the Pennsylvania Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control's (PADEP) Division of Air and Waste Management and is compared to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) throughout the state, pursuant to the Clean Air Act of 1970. Six principal "criteria" pollutants are part of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), particulate matter (PM10 and PM 2.5), and lead (Pb). Stationary sources include power plants that burn fossil fuels, factories, boilers, furnaces, manufacturing plants, gasoline dispensing facilities, and other industrial facilities. Mobile sources include vehicles such as cars, trucks, boats, and aircraft.

Chester County, Pennsylvania within which the Federal Action will take place is classified as moderate nonattainment for ozone (oxides of nitrogen [NOx] and volatile organic compounds [VOCs]). For ozone Chester County is classified within the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City Nonattainment Area (PA-NJ-DE-MD). Chester County, PA is also classified as nonattainment for PM 2.5. For PM 2.5, Chester County, PA is classified within the Philadelphia-Wilmington Nonattainment Area (PA-NJ-DE).

5.2 Water Quality

Few river basins have had a longer or stronger connection to socioeconomic, cultural, and industrial development in the United States than the Schuylkill River Basin. This is because the land and water of the Schuylkill Basin have provided many of the resources needed over the last 350 years by colonial, industrial, and even modern Philadelphia, which lies at the downstream end of the basin (Stroud Water Research Center, 2012).

The Schuylkill River basin today bears little resemblance to the pristine woods found by the first Europeans. However, it is still an invaluable natural resource for the 3 million people that live in the watershed as well as the additional 3 million people from neighboring watersheds that together represent the Philadelphia metropolitan area. For example, forests have regrown to cover about 41% of the basin, and now represent important areas for recreation, wildlife, and potentially silviculture (forest harvesting). Agriculture still occupies 40% of the acreage while developed lands represent about 13%. Finally, surface and groundwater resources in the Basin continues to provide drinking water for more than 3 million people (Stroud Water Research Center, 2012).

The study area is in a highly developed suburban section of Chester County, PA. The Schuylkill River is classified as an urban stream on the EPA and the State list of impaired streams. There are excessive levels of nutrients, suspended solids, pathogens and metals in the stream water. It is polluted by both point and non-point sources.

The use of benthic (i.e., bottom-dwelling) macroinvertebrates such as insects, worms, and crayfish that live in the River and its tributaries to assess current water and habitat quality is a common way to assess water quality (Hellawell 1986). Based on the report for the Southwest Schuylkill Basin with the closest water quality sampling site at Pigeon Creek at Old Schuylkill Road (Site #127), the Macroinvertebrate Aggregated Index for Streams (MAIS) was determined to be 9.2 and rated as fair (Stroud Water Research Center, 2012).

5.3 Wetlands

The project is located in the riparian area adjacent to the Schuylkill River. There are no wetlands within the project area.

5.4 Fisheries

The Schuylkill River is classified by PADEP as migratory fish waters and the river has many fish passage facilities located on it. The Fairmount Dam, Flatrock Dam, Black Rock, and Norristown Dam all have fish ladders constructed on them to allow fish passage. The migratory fish species found in the Schuylkill River and likely to use the fish ladders include American shad (*Alosa sapidissima*), blueback herring (*Alosa aestivalis*), alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*), striped bass(*Morone saxatilis*), white perch (*Morone americana*), American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*), Gizzard shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*), and hickory shad (*Alosa mediocris*). The migratory period for most of these species is dependent on water temperature, but typically occurs from April – June.

In 2009, the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) conducted an electrofishing survey of the Schuylkill River in Montgomery County from the area directly below Plymouth Dam downstream to the Matsonford Bridge in Conshohocken. The main purpose of the survey was to document the presence or absence of American shad adults.

In the survey, 2 male American shad (16.5 inches long and 19 inches long) were captured. No other American shad were observed. Their discovery was the first time that American shad adults had been known to be present in the Conshohocken area since about 1820 when Fairmount Dam was built.

Other fish species identified in the 2009 survey included smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieui*), walleye (*Sander vitreus*), channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), and flathead catfish (*Pylodictis olivaris*). Sixty smallmouth bass were captured and ranged in lengths from 5 to 19.5 inches. Numerous other smallmouth bass over 15 inches long were observed, but could not be captured. Fifteen channel catfish were captured and ranged in length from 18 to 24 inches. A similar number were observed, but not captured. One flathead catfish was captured, although two others (one over 30 inches) were observed escaping the electrical field. Three walleye were captured and ranged in length from 11 to 24.5 inches. Other fish species captured or observed included: white sucker (*Catostomus commersonii*), quillback (*Carpiodes cyprinus*), gizzard shad, satinfin shiner (*Cyprinella analostana*), and American eel (PFBC, 2009).

In addition, coordination with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) determined that there was no essential fish habitat in the proposed project area.

5.5 Wildlife Resources

Due to the extensive development in the Schuylkill River watershed, there are limited wildlife resources in the project vicinity. In addition, the absence of a well-defined riparian buffer at the project location further limits wildlife populations in the project area.

The following species of bird are likely to be found within the project area: turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*), red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), American crow (*Corvus brachynrynchos*), robin (*Turdus*)

migratorius), northern cardinal (*Richmondena cardinalis*), blue jay (*Cyanocitta cristata*), and various species of sparrows.

Some examples of indigenous waterfowl which may frequent the project area include: Canada goose (*Branta canadensis*) and mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Other bird species likely to inhabit the area include: kingfisher (*Megaceryle alcyon*), red-winged blackbird (*Agelius phoeniceus*), American crow (*Corvus brachynrynchos*), robin (*Turdus migratorius*), northern cardinal (*Richmondena cardinalis*), blue jay (*Cyanocitta cristata*), catbird (*Dumetella carolinensis*), and various species of sparrows. Additional bird species observed along the Schuylkill River include: great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*) and double-crested cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*).

Although reptiles and amphibians were not actually surveyed within the project area, the following species are typically found inhabiting riverine zones: snapping turtle (*Chelydra serpintina*), water snake (*Natrix sipedon*), and American bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*). The eastern newt (*Notophthalmus viridescens*) and American toad (*Bufo americanus*) are additional representative species likely to reside in this area.

Mammals which are indicative of riparian zones and may occur in and around the Schuylkill River project area are: muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), raccoon (*Procyon lotor*), opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*), woodchuck (*Marmota monax*), chipmunk (*Tamias striata*), gray squirrel (*Scirus carolinensis*), eastern cottontail (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), and little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*).

5.6 Threatened and Endangered Species

According to a Pennsylvania National Diversity Inventory (PNDI) search completed in May 2012, there was the potential of two species of special concern: redbelly turtle (*Pseudemys rubriventris*) and Pizzini's cave amphipod (*Stygobromus pizzinii*) to be in the project vicinity. A letter dated May 10, 2012 from the PA Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) confirmed that redbelly turtle was known in the project vicinity. No federal listed species were identified in the project area.

5.7 Cultural Resources

The proposed project's Area of Potential Effect (APE) is bounded to the south by River road, to the north by the Schuylkill River, to the west by the existing drainage ditch under the SH 100 Bridge, and to the east by the limits of proposed fill near the intersection of River Road and York Street, North Coventry Township, Chester County. Background and CRGIS database research show no historic properties recorded in the project APE. There are historic properties within a one mile radius of the APE, which include historic districts (Pottstown Industrial Historic District, Old Pottstown Historic District), historic structures (Reading Railroad Station, Pottstown Roller Mill), historic archaeological sites (36CH0828 and 36MG0277) and Native American Archaeological sites (36MG0354, 36MG0353, 36MG0395, 36CH0892, and 36CH0895 and36CH0894). None of these sites will be affected by the proposed project.

5.8 Recreation

Recreational opportunities in the Schuylkill River area of North Coventry Township typically focus on the Schuylkill River Trail (SRT), which is located across the river from our proposed project. The Schuylkill River trail is an approximately 130 mile long trail from Philadelphia to Auburn, PA.

The river is also an important source of recreational fish and boating for Southeastern Pennsylvania residents.

5.9 Noise

Sensitivity to ambient noise levels differs among land use types. For example, residential areas, libraries, schools, churches, and hospitals are generally more sensitive to noise than commercial and industrial land uses. The majority of land use along the river in the vicinity of the project is residential and light commercial, which generally have a higher sensitivity to ambient noise levels.

The project location is adjacent to State Route 100, which is a high speed local road artery for the region. In addition, River Road acts a feeder road for locals to get to and from the Coventry Mall. Hence, the existing noise level from traffic in the project area is moderate.

6.0 Environmental Impacts

6.1 Air quality

Air quality within the project area is reflective of a developed suburb of Chester County, Pennsylvania within which the Federal Action will take place and is classified as moderate nonattainment for ozone (oxides of nitrogen [NOx] and volatile organic compounds [VOCs]). Chester County, PA is also classified as nonattainment for particulate matter (PM 2.5).

Construction of the streambank stabilization project would cause temporary reduction of local ambient air quality due to fugitive dust and emissions generated by construction equipment. These temporary reductions in air quality would not have a significant impact on the long term air quality of the surrounding area.

General Conformity Review and Emission Inventory

North Coventry

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments include the provision of Federal Conformity, which is a regulation that ensures that Federal Actions conform to a nonattainment area's State Implementation Plan (SIP) thus not adversely impacting the area's progress toward attaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). In the case of the North Coventry project, the Federal Action is to protect an eroding stream bank. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Philadelphia District would be responsible for construction. Chester County, Pennsylvania within which the Federal Action will take place is classified as moderate nonattainment for ozone (oxides of nitrogen [NOx] and volatile organic compounds [VOCs]). The North Coventry project site is within the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton Nonattainment Area (PA-NJ-DE-MD).

There are two types of Federal Conformity: Transportation Conformity and General Conformity (GC). Transportation Conformity does not apply to this project because the project is not funded by the Federal Highway Administration and it does not impact the on-road transportation system. GC however is applicable. Therefore, the total direct and indirect emissions associated with the North Coventry project must be compared to the GC trigger levels presented below.

Pollutant	Trigger Levels (tons per year)		
NOx	100		
VOCs	50		
PM _{2.5}	100		

To conduct a general conformity review and emission inventory for the North Coventry project, a list of equipment necessary for construction was identified. Table 1 (Appendix C) lists these pieces of equipment along with the number of engines, engine size (hp), and duration of operation. A Load Factor (LF) was also selected for each engine, which represents the average percentage of rated horsepower used during a source's operational profile. Load factors were taken from other General Conformity Reviews and Emission Inventories.

Table 1 (see Appendix C) shows the estimated hp-hr required for each equipment/engine category. Hp-hr was calculated using the following equation:

hp-hr = # of engines*hp*LF*hrs/day*days of operation

The second calculation is to derive the total amount of emissions generated from each equipment/engine category by multiplying the power demand (hp-hr) by an emission factor (g/hp-hr). The following equations were used:

emissions (g) = power demand (hp-hr) * emission factor (g/hp-hr)

emissions (tons) = emissions (g) * (1 ton/907200 g)

Tables 2, 3, and 4 (see Appendix C) presents the emission factors and emission estimates for NOx, VOCs, and PM _{2.5} respectively. The tables present the emissions from each individual equipment/engine category and the combined total. Table 5 provides emissions associated with worker's personal vehicles and the total emissions for the project.

The total estimated emissions that would result from construction of the streambank stabilization project is 1.8 tons of NOx, 0.4 tons of VOCs, and 0.41 PM $_{2.5}$. Construction of the project will be completed in 4 months. These emissions are below the General Conformity trigger levels of 100 tons of NOx and PM_{2.5}; and 50 tons of VOCs per year. General Conformity under the Clean Air Act, Section 176 has been evaluated for the project according to the requirements of 40 CFR 93, Subpart B. The requirements of this rule are not applicable to this project because the total direct and indirect emissions from the project are below the conformity threshold values established at 40 CFR 93.153 (b) for ozone (NOx and HC) in a Moderate Nonattainment Area (100 tons and 50 tons of each pollutant per year) and 100 tons for PM $_{2.5}$. The project is not considered regionally significant under 40 CFR 93.153 (i).

6.2 Water Quality

Implementation of this project will have temporary impacts to water quality. All necessary best management practices will be used during construction. A cofferdam will be used to complete the streambank stabilization in the dry. This will greatly minimize the amount of turbidity in the river

during construction of this project. The proposed project will not likely have any long-term adverse impacts on the water quality of the Schuylkill River. By stabilizing the bank and preventing further erosion in the section of the river, the long-term impacts will be minimal and possibly even positive in nature. A sediment and erosion control plan using best management practices will be used during construction of this project to minimize impacts on the river.

6.3 Wetlands

There are no wetlands in the project area, so no wetlands will be impacted as a result of this project.

6.4 Fisheries

Consultation with the NMFS concluded no essential fish habitat under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act or trust resources in the project area (see Appendices B and D) under their jurisdiction. In addition, as per their comments under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, to avoid potential impacts to American shad, we will avoid all in water construction work from April 1 – June 30 to the extent possible.

There will be temporary minor impacts (increased turbidity) to resident fish populations in the Schuylkill River during the construction of this project. However, the project will provide for the long term stabilization of the streambank in that reach of the stream and may result in long-term benefits to the riparian corridor.

6.5 Wildlife

No long-term impacts to the wildlife resources in Schuylkill River area are anticipated as a result of this project. There will be noise and general disturbances in the stream area as a result of construction activities, but these will be temporary in nature and should not have a long term negative effect on wildlife in the area. With the planting of native vegetation along the top of the newly protected streambank, there may be a long-term positive impact to the riparian corridor along the river.

6.6 Threatened and Endangered Species

Consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act is completed for this project. A letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service from January 2013 states that the project will have an insignificant or discountable effect on federally listed species (Appendix B). In addition, in a letter from April 2012, the NMFS stated that there were no federally listed species found in project area under their jurisdiction (Appendices B and D).

In addition, a letter from the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) indicated that the proposed project activities would not impact the state-listed (threatened) eastern redbelly turtle (identified in the PNDI for the project). Hence, we do not anticipate any impacts to federally or state-listed species as a result of this project.

6.7 Cultural Resources

Although there are recorded sites in the vicinity of the project area, none have been recorded in the project APE, and none will be impacted by the proposed project. Although the APE is located in an area considered high probability for the presence of Native American archaeological sites, the proposed

project has little likelihood of impacting a site since the alternatives discussed will add fill to the area and not remove intact soils. If unrecorded cultural resources are within the APE, the proposed project will serve to bury and protect any resources from further erosion. Consultation with the Pennsylvania Historic Museum Commission and the Tribes under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act is ongoing for this project and will be completed prior to project construction.

6.8 Recreation

As noted in Section 5.8, the Schuylkill River valley has a wide variety of recreational resources. One potential impact of the proposed project on local recreation would be to fishing in the immediate project area. During construction, the increase in the turbidity of the river would affect local angling in the immediate area around and downstream of the project site. This impact would be temporary and angling opportunities would return to normal shortly after construction is completed.

As noted in Section 5.8, the SRT is located across the river from the proposed project. Besides, temporary aesthetic issues during construction of the proposed streambank protection, there should be no impact on the recreation on the SRT.

6.9 Noise

Temporary impacts due to increased construction noise may be experienced by nearby homeowners during the project construction. Construction activities will require the use of heavy construction equipment including but not limited to excavators, loaders, and dump trucks. An increase in road traffic and possibly traffic interruption can also be anticipated. Construction time is temporary in nature and would be approximately four months. Under normal circumstances, noise will only be generated Monday through Friday during normal working hours. There should be no long-term adverse noise impacts associated with our proposed completed project.

6.10 Cumulative

We do not anticipate that protecting approximately 1900' of streambank should have any long-term negative cumulative effects on the Schuylkill River. In fact, with our proposed use of bioengineering and native plants, the riparian area of that section of the Schuylkill River should be improved for the local wildlife.

In addition, as we move forward with the planning process of this project, we will consider ways to reduce the impact of our selected alternative. This will include consideration of alternative construction techniques, best management practices during project construction, and planning for storm events on the construction site.

7.0 Environmental Justice

All of the alternatives evaluated for this project, including the preferred plan, are expected to comply with Executive Order 12989-Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, dated February 11, 1994. The selected plan is not located in close proximity to a minority or low-income community, and no impacts are expected to occur to any minority or low-income communities in the area.

8.0 Relationship of Selected Plan to Environmental Requirements, Protection Statutes, and Other Requirements

Compliance with environmental quality protection statutes and other environmental review requirements is ongoing. Table 2 provides a listing of compliance with environmental statutes. The Corps has determined that this project meets the terms and conditions of Nationwide Permit #13 (Bank Stabilization) for the construction of this project and with that permit, the Pennsylvania, Section 401 State Water Quality Certificate is automatically issued. In addition, any future maintenance requirements of the project undertaken by the non-federal sponsor, North Coventry Township, will be covered by Nationwide Permit #3 (Maintenance). A Section 404(b)(1) analysis of the Clean Water Act, as amended (Public Law 92-500), was completed for this project based and included in this document.

STATUTE	COMPLIANCE STATUS
Clean Water Act	Full
Coastal Zone Management Act	N/A
Endangered Species Act	Full
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	Full
National Historic Preservation Act	Full
National Environmental Policy Act	Full
Clean Air Act	Full

TABLE 2. Compliance with Appropriate Environmental Quality Protection Statutes and other Environmental Review Requirements.

NOTE:

<u>Full Compliance</u>: Having met all requirements of the statute, E.O., or other environmental requirements for the current stage of planning.

<u>Partial Compliance:</u> Some requirements of the statute, E.O., or other policy and related regulations remain to be met. *All applicable laws and regulations will be fully complied with upon completion of the environmental review, obtaining state water quality certification, coastal zone consistency determination, and concurrence with our determination on cultural resources.

Noncompliance: None of the requirements of the statute, E.O., or other policy and related regulations remain to be met.

9.0 Section 404(b)(1) Analysis

A review of the impacts associated with discharges to waters of the United States for the North Coventry Streambank Protection Project in Chester County, PA is required by Section 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act, as amended (Public Law 92-500).

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Location. The project area is located in North Coventry Township, Chester County, PA.

B. <u>General Description</u>. The project site is located along the Schuylkill River in Chester County, Pennsylvania. The project begins in the existing ditch below the State Highway 100 overpass, and extends approximately 1900' downstream towards Hanover Street.

C. <u>Purpose</u>. The goal of this project is to restore bank stability and to protect River Road from eventual

failure. The proposed streambank protection project consists of stabilizing the west bank of the Schuylkill River along River Road with a combination of riprap and vegetative cover. The proposed project recommends the use of Longitudinal Peak Stone Toe Protection and bioengineering with native plants.

D. General Description of Dredged or Fill Material.

- 1. General Characteristics of Material: rock and soil.
- 2. Quantity of Discharge: The estimated quantity of fill is 1800 cu yds of rock and 2600 cu yds of soil.
- 3. Source of Material: imported rock and soil to the project site.
- E. <u>Description of Discharge Sites</u>.
 - 2. Location: along the existing streambank of the Schuylkill River.
 - 3. Size (acres): The project site is approximately 1900 linear ft. The amount of stone will be 1800 cu yds and soil will be 2600 cu yds.
 - 3. Type of Sites: Floodplain/Riparian Corridor
 - 4. Type of Habitat: Floodplain/Riparian Corridor
 - 5. Timing and Duration of Discharge: A four month construction period.
- F. <u>Description of Discharge Method</u>. Placing stone and then filling behind it along the streambank.

II. FACTUAL DETERMINATIONS

- A. <u>Physical Substrate Determinations</u>.
 - 1. Substrate Elevation and Slope: varies
 - 2. Sediment Type: sand/soil/clay
 - 3. Fill Material Movement: Significant, material will be placed in flowing water.
 - 4. Physical Effects on Benthos: Temporary, major effect on flow and patterns during construction. Any local benthos will be buried when the rock is placed at the toe of the streambank. The streambank habitat should be re-populated with benthos after project construction, likely within 1-year.
 - 5. Actions taken to Minimize Impacts: Best management practices will be used during construction, including a cofferdam which will allow the project to be constructed in the dry to keep the turbidity and sediment moving downstream to a minimum. In addition, any new created streambank will be seeded or planted as

soon as possible.

- B. <u>Water Circulation, Fluctuation and Salinity Determinations</u>.
 - 1. Water:
 - a. Salinity No effect
 - b. Water Chemistry Temporary, minor effect.
 - c. Clarity Temporary, major effect
 - d. Color No effect
 - e. Odor No effect.
 - f. Taste No effect.
 - g. Dissolved Gas Levels Temporary, minor effect
 - h. Nutrients Temporary, major effect
 - I. Eutrophication No effect.
 - j. Temperature- No effect.
 - 2. Current Patterns and Circulation:
 - a. Current Patterns and Flow Temporary, major effect on flow and patterns during construction of the project, especially in the immediate vicinity of the rock placement area. The streambank area should return to previous flow and pattern once the construction is completed.
 - b. Velocity No effect.
 - c. Stratification No effect.
 - 3. Normal Water Level Fluctuations No effect.
 - 4. Salinity Gradients No effect.
 - 5. Actions That Will Be Taken To Minimize Impacts: Best management practices will be used during construction, including a cofferdam which will allow the project to be constructed in the dry to keep the turbidity and sediment moving downstream to a minimum. In addition, any new created streambank will be seeded or planted as soon as possible.
- C. <u>Suspended Particulate/Turbidity Determinations</u>.

- 1. Expected Changes in Suspended Particulates and Turbidity Levels in Vicinity of Fill Site: Temporary, major effect during the construction of the project. Turbidity should return to normal levels after project completion.
- 2. Effects on Chemical and Physical Properties of the Water Column:
 - a. Light Penetration: No effect.
 - b. Dissolved Oxygen: Minor effect.
 - c. Toxic Metals and Organics: No effect.
 - d. Pathogens: No effect.
 - e. Aesthetics: Temporary, major effects limited to the construction period.
 - f. Temperature: Temporary, minor effect.
- 3. Effects on Biota:
 - a. Primary Production, Photosynthesis: Temporary, major effect on any aquatic vegetation in the project area. Primary production should return to pre-project levels shortly after construction.
 - b. Suspension/Filter Feeders: Temporary, major effect on filter feeders during construction. Suspension/Filter Feeders should return to pre-project levels shortly after construction.
 - c. Sight feeders: Temporary, major effect sight feeders (e.g., fish) during construction activities due to turbidity. Sight feeders should be able to return to pre-project activities shortly after construction.
- 4. Actions Taken to Minimize Impacts: Best management practices will be used during construction, including a cofferdam which will allow the project to be constructed in the dry to keep the turbidity and sediment moving downstream to a minimum. In addition, any new created streambank will be seeded or planted as soon as possible.
- D. <u>Contaminant Determinations.</u>

N/A

- E. Aquatic Ecosystem and Organism Determinations.
 - 1. Effects on Plankton: Temporary, major effect on any aquatic vegetation in the project area. Primary production should return to pre-project levels shortly after construction.
 - 2. Effects on Benthos: Temporary, major effect on any benthos in the project area.

Benthos should recover to pre-project levels shortly after construction (<1 year).

- 3. Effects on Nekton: N/A
- 4. Effects on Aquatic Food Web: Temporary, major effect on the food web in the project area. The food web should return to pre-project levels shortly after construction.
- 5. Effects on Special Aquatic Sites:
 - (a) Sanctuaries and Refuges: None.
 - (b) Wetlands: None.
 - (c) Tidal flats: None.
 - (d) Vegetated Shallows: None.
- 6. Threatened and Endangered Species: No effect.
- 7. Other Wildlife: Temporary, minor effect.
- 8. Actions to Minimize Impacts: Best management practices will be used during construction, including a cofferdam which will allow the project to be constructed in the dry to keep the turbidity and sediment moving downstream to a minimum. In addition, any new created streambank will be seeded or planted as soon as possible.
- F. <u>Proposed Disposal Site Determinations</u> (N/A no dredging will be conducted)
 - 1. Mixing Zone Determinations:
 - a. Depth of water:
 - b. Current velocity:
 - c. Degree of turbulence:
 - d. Stratification:
 - e. Discharge vessel speed and direction:
 - f. Rate of discharge:
 - g. Dredged material characteristics:
 - 2. Determination of Compliance with Applicable Water Quality Standards: A section 401 Water Quality Certificate will be obtained from PADEP for this project prior to construction.
 - 3. Potential Effects on Human Use Characteristics:
 - a. Municipal and Private Water Supply: No anticipated effect.
 - b. Recreational and Commercial Fisheries: Temporary, minor effect during

construction.

- c. Water Related Recreation: Temporary, minor effect.
- d. Aesthetics: Temporary, minor effect.
- e. Parks, National and Historical Monuments, National Seashore, Wilderness Areas, Research Sites, and Similar Preserves: No effect.
- G. <u>Determination of Cumulative Effects on the Aquatic Ecosystem</u>. No significant adverse effects are anticipated.
- H. <u>Determination of Secondary Effects on the Aquatic Ecosystem</u>. No significant secondary effects are anticipated.

III. <u>FINDINGS OF COMPLIANCE OR NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE RESTRICTIONS ON</u> <u>DISCHARGE</u>

- A. Adaptation of the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines to this evaluation No significant adaptation of the guidelines were made relative to this evaluation.
- B. Evaluation of Availability of Practicable Alternatives to the Proposed Discharge Site Which Would Have Less Adverse Impact on the Aquatic Ecosystem - The preferred plan was determined from a detailed evaluation of alternatives to have the least amount of environmental impacts with the best chance for solving the project purpose and need.
- C. Compliance With Applicable State Water Quality Standards The preferred plan is not expected to violate any applicable state water quality standards in Pennsylvania.
- D. Compliance With Applicable Toxic Effluent Standards or Prohibition Under Section 307 of the Clean Water Act The proposed discharge is not anticipated to violate the Toxic Effluent Standards of Section 307 of the Clean Water Act.
- E. Compliance With Endangered Species Act of 1973 The preferred plan will comply with the Endangered Species Act of 1973. Informal Section 7 consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will be completed on this project prior to construction.
- F. Compliance With Specified Protection Measures for Marine Sanctuaries Designated by the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 No Marine Sanctuaries, as designated in the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, are located within the project area.
- G. Evaluation of Extent of Degradation of Waters of the United States The preferred plan will not result in significant adverse effects on human health and welfare, including municipal and private water supplies, and recreational and commercial fishing, plankton, fish and shellfish, wildlife, and special aquatic sites. Significant adverse impacts on aquatic ecosystem diversity, productivity and stability, and recreation, aesthetics and economic values will not occur as a result of the project.
- H. Appropriate and Practicable Steps Taken to Minimize Potential Adverse Impacts of the

Discharge on the Aquatic Ecosystem - Appropriate steps (as described above) will be taken to minimize potential adverse impacts of discharging material in the aquatic ecosystem.

10.0 References

Hellawell, J. M. 1986. Biological Indicators of Freshwater Pollution and Environmental Management. Elsevier Applied Science, London, England.

Stroud Water Research Center. 2012. Website. http://www.stroudcenter.org/research/projects/schuylkill/intro.shtm

Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission. 2009. Biologist Report for the Schuylkill River in Montgomery County, PA.

Natural Lands Trust, Patrick Center of Environmental Research, and The Conservation Fund. 2001. Schuylkill Watershed Conservation Plan. 249 pages.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Green Book. March 2012. http://www.epa.gov/air/oaqps/greenbk/gntc.html

11.0 CLEAN AIR ACT STATEMENT OF CONFORMITY

CLEAN AIR ACT STATEMENT OF CONFORMITY NORTH COVENTRY STREAM BANK STABILIZATION PROJECT CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

I have determined that the selected plan conforms to the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP). The Environmental Protection Agency had no adverse comments under their Clean Air Act authority. No negative comments from the air quality management district were received during coordination of the draft environmental assessment. The selected plan would comply with Section 176 (c)(1) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Michael A. Bliss, P.E. Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Commander 0350614

Date